

The Uganda Anti-Homosexuality Bill: A Public Health Critique.

Severus Hama-Owamparo

Psychologist and Founding Director at The Taala Foundation

19th May 2023.

Acknowledgements

First and foremost, I owe a special acknowledgement to my life partner, Dr Stellah Bosire, whose insights, as a medical doctor and unfaltering encouragement and faith in my abilities have proved invaluable throughout the process. Your steadfast support has served as a pillar of strength in this endeavour.

Additionally, I would be remiss not to acknowledge the contributions of Lydia Namubiru, whose astute observations and invaluable input during the ideation phase greatly honed the analytical depth of this perspective piece. Your intellectual rigour has been instrumental in shaping the final product.

My deepest appreciation goes out to The Taala Foundation. Their enduring dedication to enhancing mental health care accessibility for Gender and Sexual Diverse (GSD) individuals served as an inspiring cornerstone in shaping this paper. Their work, though not directly contributing to the writing of this paper, provided key insights that enriched its perspectives.

I extend my heartfelt respect to the resilient GSD community. Your courage and perseverance in the face of adversity serve as a constant source of inspiration and significantly informed the tone and direction of this paper.

To conclude, while the penning of this paper has been a solitary endeavour, it has been nurtured and shaped by the aforementioned individuals and groups. For your indirect contribution to the ideas and sentiments expressed herein, my gratitude is profound. Thank you.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Acknowledgements.....	2
Abstract.....	4
Introduction.....	5
Brief Background and Contextualization of the Uganda Anti-Homosexuality Bill.....	5
Opposition to the Uganda Anti-Homosexuality Bill based on the violation of the right to health and life with dignity.....	6
The Right to Health and Life with Dignity.....	7
Definition and Explanation of the Right to Health and Life with Dignity.....	7
Significance of These Rights in the Context of Global Health.....	8
Analysis of the Uganda Anti-Homosexuality Bill: Examination of How the Bill Infringes on the Right to Health and Life with Dignity.....	9
Section 2:.....	10
Section 11:.....	10
Section 12:.....	11
Section 14:.....	11
Section 16:.....	12
The Bill as A Catalyst for Health Disparities and A Form of Societal Conversion Therapy..	12
Conclusion.....	14
Recommendations.....	15
References.....	16

Abstract

This perspective paper offers an in-depth critique of the Uganda Anti-Homosexuality Bill, grounded in the intersecting fields of public health and human rights. The Paper dissects the Anti-Homosexuality Bill's profound impact on the well-being and dignity of Gender and Sexual Diverse (GSD) individuals, illustrating how this Bill fosters a climate of fear and discrimination, precipitating amplified mental health issues and health disparities within this population. In addition, it elucidates the bill's endorsement of societal conversion therapy, a practice devoid of scientific merit and universally denounced by medical and psychological associations. The paper culminates in a resounding call to action, advocating a joint effort that bridges international and local spheres. It urges a collaborative stance to challenge the Anti-Homosexuality Bill and simultaneously champions the health and rights of GSD individuals.

Keywords: Uganda, Anti-Homosexuality Bill 2023, Public Health, Human Rights, Gender and Sexual Diverse (GSD), Conversion Therapy.

Introduction

The Uganda Anti-Homosexuality Bill 2023, represents a poignant threat to human rights and public health, particularly for the country's Gender and Sexual Diverse (GSD) individuals. The proposed legislation, which criminalises homosexuality and enforces punitive measures such as life imprisonment and forced rehabilitation, fundamentally undermines the rights of GSD individuals to health, work, education, privacy, and life with dignity. It also contributes to the stigma, marginalisation, and systemic discrimination faced by this community, thereby exacerbating health disparities, violence and mental health crises. The present paper seeks to dissect the impact of this bill from a human rights and public health perspective, emphasizing the urgent need for its opposition and a holistic societal shift towards acceptance and inclusion.

Brief Background and Contextualization of the Uganda Anti-Homosexuality Bill

The global human rights landscape has been significantly disfigured by stringent legislative measures, most pointedly those targeting Gender and Sexual Diverse (GSD) individuals (Gathara, 2023, Cullinan, 2023, Akinwotu, 2022 see also; Human Rights Watch, 2022). Among these, the "Anti-Homosexuality Bill" instituted by Uganda in 2014 stands out. This bill flagrantly violated human rights by imposing severe punishments, including life in prison, for adult consensual same-sex activities. Despite inciting substantial controversy and drawing universal censure from a myriad of human rights organisations and governments, the bill was nonetheless instituted into Ugandan law, etching a grim milestone in Africa's approach to the rights of GSD individuals. This scenario effectively highlights the ongoing difficulties posed by anti-LGBT laws across Africa, where many nations persist in criminalizing same-sex relations, perpetuating discrimination and obstructing efforts to guarantee universal human rights.

Although later annulled on procedural grounds (BBC, 2014), it was not without cost to the livelihoods and well-being of Gender and Sexual Diverse (GSD) individuals. The ramifications of which transcend the boundaries of legal discourse. Its effects reverberated through the lives of countless GSD individuals, resulting in mass detentions, deteriorating health outcomes, evictions, unemployment, and a collapse of social support structures. The legislation and everything in between its passing, pushed an already marginalised community further into obscurity or exile, exposing them to relentless persecution and violence (Human Rights Watch, 2014).

The progression of persecution faced by the GSD community in Uganda has been marked by an unsettling evolution—with a discernible increase in mass detentions and anti-GSD rhetoric from various factions, such as religious fundamentalists, government officials, and individuals using social media platforms as conduits for hate speech. This has culminated in escalating

state-sanctioned violence marked by mass arrests, brutal media outings, and a downturn in the mental health of those affected (Kasujja & Hama-Owamparo, 2022).

This escalating hostility reached an alarming zenith with the reintroduction of the Anti-Homosexuality Bill to the Ugandan Parliament on March 9, 2023. This updated bill is not merely a reincarnation of its 2014 predecessor but, a more draconian version, imposing even more stringent prison sentences for consensual same-sex activities between adults. In an unprecedented step, it even criminalises the suspected intention to engage in same-sex relations. Furthermore, the bill broadens its scope under Section 11 to prohibit the vaguely defined "promotion of homosexuality." This nebulous term includes the criminalization of services and accommodations provided to individuals identified as homosexual, thus posing a significant threat to healthcare service provision and the fabric of community support and solidarity for GSD individuals (Chapter Four, Uganda, 2023).

While the bill is presumably aimed at addressing perceived social issues, it's essential to understand the significant negative health consequences that can arise from such a Bill— as such, this introduction serves as a platform to delve into the profound implications of the Anti-Homosexuality Bill on the fundamental right to health and life with dignity, particularly for the GSD community in Uganda.

Opposition to the Uganda Anti-Homosexuality Bill based on the violation of the right to health and life with dignity

This paper takes a definitive position against the Uganda Anti-Homosexuality Bill due to its severe violation of the fundamental right to health and life with dignity. The inherent dignity and equality of all individuals are upheld per the principles outlined in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights (United Nations, 1948). Dignity, privacy and equality are universal rights that are safeguarded by the Universal Declaration of Rights and are thus applicable to GSD persons. Article 25 of the Universal Declaration of Rights further provides for the right to health and the same is replicated in the International Covenant on Economic, Social, and Cultural Rights, The Africa Charter on Human and People's Rights (ACHPR) (African Union, 1981), and The Convention on Elimination of Discrimination Against Women (CEDAW)(United Nations Commission on the Status of Women, 1979). The Uganda Anti-Homosexuality Bill stands in striking contrast to the internationally recognised principles that Uganda has ratified.

The bill, by criminalising homosexuality and any perceived support thereof, directly infringes upon the ability of GSD individuals to access essential health services, thereby negatively impacting their overall physical, social and mental health. The bill's provision prohibiting the "promotion of homosexuality" could have a substantial impact on HIV prevention programmes and other health programmes aimed at the GSD communities. The general wellbeing of the GSD populace may be hampered if this clause is understood erroneously because it could restrict

important activities like HIV prevention, sexual health education, and access to comprehensive healthcare. Given the increased prevalence of HIV/AIDS within these communities, the consequences of this provision are especially alarming, underscoring the urgent need for specialised interventions and assistance. This interpretation could result in an increase in health disparities and HIV infection rates within the GSD community who are also listed as a key population. Criminalising homosexuality can contribute to chronic stress, anxiety, depression, substance abuse, and social isolation in the GSD community as demonstrated by Meyer, (2003) and Hatzenbuehler et al., (2008), consequently, exacerbating the already hostile environment that GSD persons must navigate on a daily basis. Moreover, the bill undermines the dignity of GSD individuals by fostering discrimination, prejudice, and violence against them, thereby violating their right to live with dignity. The criminalization of homosexuality and related behaviours promotes a hostile environment that strips GSD individuals of their dignity and respect as human beings (Human Rights Watch, 2023).

This paper vehemently opposes the Uganda Anti-Homosexuality Bill for the aforementioned reasons, advocates for the respect of the rights and dignity of all individuals, irrespective of their sexual orientation or gender identity, and calls for a more inclusive and accepting society that upholds the principles of health, dignity, and life for all.

The Right to Health and Life with Dignity

Definition and Explanation of the Right to Health and Life with Dignity

Fundamental human rights recognised by international law include the right to health and a dignified existence. Health is "a state of complete physical, mental, and social well-being and not merely the absence of disease or infirmity" (World Health Organisation, 1946). It implies that every individual has the right to access healthcare services, facilities, and information necessary for the preservation and improvement of their health. This right includes the availability, accessibility, acceptability, and quality of healthcare services. Thus, the right to health includes a vast array of social determinants of health, including healthy working and environmental conditions, gender equality, access to clean water, nourishing food, adequate housing, access to health-related education and information--including on sexual and reproductive health, and the right to participate in decisions that affect one's health are also included (United Nations Committee on Economic, Social, and Cultural Rights, 2000). It also involves the right to be free from arbitrary interference with one's health, and the right to be protected from practices or conditions that may harm health.

On the other hand, the right to life with dignity underscores the pivotal belief that every individual is entitled to lead a life that duly respects their intrinsic value and identity. This implies not just existing, but living a life of quality and respect, unmarred by discrimination,

violence or stigma. Article 1 of the 1948 Universal Declaration of Human Rights encapsulates the guarantee that all individuals are born with the same inherent rights and liberties. The implications of living a life imbued with dignity extend far beyond this declarative statement. It necessitates freedom from the debilitating effects of stigma, discrimination and violence and stipulates the right to a life without fear of retribution based on gender identity or sexual orientation and underscores the necessity for individuals to fully engage in the sociocultural and political life of their communities. These aspects are not merely peripheral rights, but fundamental components of the collective human experience, affirmed by international law.

Significance of These Rights in the Context of Global Health

The right to health and life with dignity holds significant weight in the global human rights and health discourse. These rights are interrelated and mutually reinforcing, emphasising the holistic nature of human rights and global health (Gruskin, Mills, & Tarantola, 2007). The right to health is central to global health as it acknowledges that good health and well-being are crucial for individuals to live productive, fulfilling lives and for communities and countries to thrive. Health disparities, which are often a consequence of violations of the right to health, pose substantial social and economic costs and can destabilise societies (Braveman & Gruskin, 2003).

By emphasising the right to health, global health advocates can work towards health equity, ensuring that everyone, especially disenfranchised minorities, in this case, GSD persons, have a fair opportunity to achieve the highest attainable standard of health as indicated by the health status of the most socially advantaged group.

The right to life with dignity is a cornerstone of human rights, emphasising the inherent value and worth of each person regardless of their sexual orientation, gender identity, or expression thereof. In the context of global health, recognising this right means addressing not only physical health but also **mental and social well-being**. It requires a commitment to eliminate stigma, discrimination, and violence and to foster environments that respect and protect diversity and inclusion.

When it comes to GSD individuals, the right to health and life with dignity is of particular importance. Research has consistently shown that GSD individuals face significant health disparities, including higher rates of mental health issues and HIV, largely due to systemic systematic stigma, discrimination, and violence (Meyer, 2003; Hatzenbuehler, 2016) See also; (Kasujja & Hama-Owamparo, 2022). Upholding the right to health and life with dignity for GSD individuals thus means challenging these injustices and working towards a world where all individuals, regardless of their sexual orientation or gender identity, can live healthy, dignified lives.

Analysis of the Uganda Anti-Homosexuality Bill: Examination of How the Bill Infringes on the Right to Health and Life with Dignity

The 2023 Uganda Anti-Homosexuality Bill, reintroduced in Parliament, presents severe implications for GSD individuals' rights. It is a regressive bill that intensifies penalties for same-sex relations and broadens the scope of punishable offences. This Anti-Homosexuality Bill presents a stark danger to GSD individuals' right to health and life with dignity. By criminalising same-sex relationships and imposing harsh penalties, the bill inherently constructs an environment rife with barriers to critical health services. Fear of discrimination, persecution, and potential criminal charges will deter GSD individuals from seeking necessary healthcare, thus exacerbating their vulnerability to health risks.

The threat presented by the Anti-Homosexuality Bill is most palpable in the domain of healthcare services. GSD individuals, already marginalised and stigmatised in society, are likely to be increasingly reluctant to seek health and medical care due to fear of disclosure, discrimination, and potential legal repercussions. This reluctance is not trivial; it risks widening health disparities—both physical and mental—within the GSD community. A body of research illuminates the gravity of this situation. Studies, such as the one conducted by Friedman et al. (2019), have revealed a positive correlation between the criminalization of homosexuality and increased prevalence rates of HIV and mental health comorbidities among GSD populations. Therefore, the bill, if assented to, risks amplifying existing health disparities and introducing new ones, consequently undermining the overall well-being of the GSD community.

By criminalising and stigmatising homosexuality, the bill cultivates an already hostile social environment, resulting in an upsurge of mental health issues within the GSD community. The chronic stress, anxiety, depression, and social isolation ensuing from such hostile environments have been well-documented (Meyer, (2003)Hatzenbuehler et al., (2008) and Kasujja & Hama-Owamparo, (2022)). This neglect, coupled with the heightened stress and anxiety induced by the bill, creates a perfect storm for a severe mental health crisis among the GSD population. In addition to its substantial mental health implications, the bill also indirectly elevates the risk of HIV infection among GSD individuals

Hatzenbuehler and his team's 2010 study on the implications of institutional discrimination on mental health in lesbian, gay, and bisexual populations in the USA indicates a significant rise in various psychiatric disorders as classified by the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Fourth Edition, between two study periods among LGB participants residing in states prohibiting gay marriage. The study observed substantial increases in occurrences of any mood disorder (by 36.6%), generalised anxiety disorder (by a staggering 248.2%), any alcohol use disorder (by 41.9%), and psychiatric comorbidity (by 36.3%). Interestingly, these disorders did not demonstrate similar increases among LGB respondents in states without such constitutional

bans. Moreover, the research did not uncover comparable increases in heterosexuals living in states enforcing these constitutional amendments. These findings pose a crucial question: If the mere prohibition of gay marriage can lead to such detrimental mental health outcomes, what could be the unimaginable repercussions of full-scale criminalization, the impact of which on the mental health of GSD individuals is yet to be profoundly understood?

Lastly, by nurturing stigma and marginalisation, the Anti-Homosexuality Bill profoundly infringes on the right to a life of dignity for GSD individuals. The hostile environment this bill fosters subjects them to systemic discrimination, violence, and social exclusion. This adverse climate not only tramples upon their basic human right to respect and ethical treatment but also severely affects their mental health, increasing the prevalence of depression, anxiety, and suicide within this community (Meyer, 2003; United Nations, 1948). Hence, the bill epitomises the systematic violation of fundamental human rights and the dignity of GSD individuals, which will directly and negatively impact their health and well-being.

Section 2:

One of the most concerning aspects of the bill is Section 2, which criminalizes homosexuality and prescribes harsh punishments, creating an environment of stigma, fear, discrimination, and persecution (Kasujja & Hama-Owamparo, 2022). This criminalization has severe mental health implications, leading to increased rates of anxiety, depression, and suicidal ideation among GSD individuals (Meyer, 2003). It also deters GSD individuals from seeking essential health services, leaving them vulnerable to violence and without the necessary support. Sections 2 and 11 of the bill heighten barriers to health and well-being for GSD individuals, amplifying fear and discrimination and isolating them from societal support and medical care structures.

The bill cultivates a hostile social environment, resulting in a surge of mental health issues within the GSD community, including chronic stress, anxiety, depression, and social isolation (Meyer, 2003; Hatzenbuehler et al., 2008; Kasujja & Hama-Owamparo, 2022). This, coupled with the fear of prosecution, creates a severe mental health crisis. Additionally, the bill indirectly increases the risk of HIV infection among GSD individuals as fear of prosecution hinders their access to HIV prevention and treatment services (UNAIDS, 2013). This further exacerbates the health challenges faced by the marginalized GSD community.

Section 11:

Section 11 of the Anti-Homosexuality Bill in Uganda has wide-ranging consequences that violate the rights of GSD individuals, particularly their right to health, dignity, and freedom of expression. The provision criminalizes activities related to advocacy, support, and even healthcare services, undermining the right to health and exacerbating existing health disparities. Suppressing the dissemination of accurate information about sexual orientation and gender identity, denies individuals the right to information and education, perpetuating ignorance and

prejudice. Furthermore, it infringes upon freedom of speech and assembly, hindering advocacy efforts and impeding public understanding and acceptance of GSD individuals. The bill's economic implications, including potential discrimination in the workplace and housing sector, threaten the right to work and economic stability. Lastly, the provision's potential to dissolve organisations supporting GSD individuals undermines the right to form and join associations, jeopardizing critical support networks.

Section 12:

Section 12 of the Anti-Homosexuality Bill in Uganda not only violates the fundamental right to work but also perpetuates discrimination and hinders employment opportunities for gender and sexual diverse individuals (United Nations Committee on Economic, Social, and Cultural Rights, 2000). Depriving GSD individuals of employment benefits negatively impacts their ability to meet basic needs, such as food and housing, which indirectly undermines their overall health and wellbeing. In a country where access to rights is often linked to financial capacity and public health systems are dysfunctional, the consequences of denying GSD individuals the right to dignified work can be dire. Discriminatory job restrictions not only harm a person's general well-being, including their health, but also restrict their access to essential healthcare services, leading to untreated or misdiagnosed health conditions and, in extreme cases, premature death. This breach of the right to dignified work exacerbates existing health disparities faced by GSD individuals, compounding the challenges they already encounter due to social stigma and discrimination, and perpetuating inequality and marginalization within the GSD community (Badgett, 2014). Furthermore, the provision that disqualifies individuals until they are "fully rehabilitated" reinforces the unfounded notion that homosexuality is a condition requiring rehabilitation, a belief debunked by scientific consensus (American Psychological Association, 2009).

Section 14:

Section 14 of the Anti-Homosexuality Bill in Uganda infringes upon the right to privacy, confidentiality, and dignity for gender and sexual diversity (GSD) individuals by mandating that everyone, including healthcare providers, report any known or suspected acts of homosexuality to law enforcement officers. This requirement creates a pervasive culture of surveillance and fear, deterring GSD individuals from seeking necessary medical help and impeding their access to comprehensive and personalized care (World Health Organisation, 2002). The fear of being reported or exposed discourages GSD individuals from accessing healthcare, leading to significant health disparities and exacerbating existing health challenges within the community. Furthermore, the "duty to report" provision fosters societal policing, leading to wrongful accusations, harassment, and violence against GSD individuals or those perceived to be GSD. These acts of prejudice and violence have detrimental effects on their physical and emotional well-being, further compromising their right to health. Section 14 undermines the values of

confidentiality, trust, and autonomy which are essential for the delivery of efficient and non-discriminatory healthcare services, as it fosters an atmosphere of fear and mistrust. It creates barriers to healthcare access, perpetuates stigma and discrimination, and hinders efforts to address the unique health needs of GSD individuals. Collectively, this section exacerbates the already precarious situation faced by GSD individuals in Uganda, making it even more challenging for them to lead lives of dignity, security, and economic stability.

Section 16:

Section 16 of the Anti-Homosexuality Bill in Uganda raises concerns as it introduces the possibility of ordering rehabilitation services for individuals convicted of homosexuality. This provision underscores the misguided perception that homosexuality is a condition requiring 'rehabilitation' or 'correction.' This outlook is not only scientifically unfounded but also deeply harmful, as it reinforces the stigmatisation and marginalisation of the GSD community. This provision implies the potential use of harmful practices, including conversion therapy, which is scientifically unfounded and widely condemned by leading health and human rights organisations (World Health Organisation, 2001; American Psychiatric Association, 2018). Conversion therapy can have severe consequences, such as substance misuse, self-hatred, anxiety, depression, and an increased risk of suicide. Further, emotional and psychological harm is further exacerbated by tactics such as verbal abuse, humiliation, and intimidation commonly employed in conversion therapy practices. The internalised stigma that ensues can impair an individual's capacity to develop and sustain healthy relationships. According to the American Psychological Association (2009), these practices are not only ineffective but can also inflict profound psychological distress. The promotion of such therapies under this bill reinforces the fallacious belief that sexual orientation can be altered, thereby legitimising discrimination against GSD individuals and contributing to a climate of fear and hostility. It is essential to counter these harmful ideologies with accurate information about sexual orientation, affirm the diversity of human sexuality, and advocate for the rights and dignity of GSD individuals in Uganda and beyond.

The Bill as A Catalyst for Health Disparities and A Form of Societal Conversion Therapy

The implications of the Anti-Homosexuality Bill transcend beyond physical health, bearing significant mental health consequences. By criminalising and stigmatising homosexuality, the bill cultivates an already hostile social environment, resulting in an upsurge of mental health issues within the GSD community. The chronic stress, anxiety, depression, and social isolation ensuing from such hostile environments have been well-documented (Meyer, 2003; Hatzenbuehler et al., 2008; see also; Kasujja & Hama-Owamparo, 2022). This neglect, in conjunction with the intensified stress and anxiety induced by the bill, creates a perfect storm for a severe mental health crisis among the GSD population. In addition to its substantial mental health implications, the bill also directly and indirectly influences

the risk of HIV infection among GSD individuals. Fear of prosecution under the bill inhibits these individuals from utilising HIV prevention and treatment services, increasing susceptibility and heightening their vulnerability to HIV and other sexually transmitted diseases, presenting yet another significant health challenge to the already marginalised GSD community (UNAIDS, 2013).

The repercussions of the Anti-Homosexuality Bill extend disproportionately to marginalised groups within the GSD community, notably women, girls, and gender-diverse individuals. These individuals, already subjected to discrimination and violence due to their gender, confront augmented marginalisation and vulnerabilities under the bill. For more conspicuous trans* and sexual minorities, heightened scrutiny and the possibility of prosecution are impending, as well as higher dangers of violence such as corrective rape or other horrible crimes intended to "cure" their "homosexuality." Post-traumatic stress disorder, depression, and suicidal thoughts are only a few of the serious mental health problems that are frequently brought on by these violent acts (Birungi & Hama-Owamparo, 2020; see also Kasujja & Hama-Owamparo, 2022). In addition, Section 12 of the bill's employment disqualification provision for convicted homosexuals makes these marginalised groups' financial vulnerabilities worse by restricting their access to basic necessities and healthcare, which has a negative impact on their general health, way of life, and well-being (United Nations Committee on Economic, Social, and Cultural Rights, 2000).

The Anti-Homosexuality Bill, particularly through Section 16, effectively acts as a mechanism for societal conversion therapy by stipulating rehabilitation for individuals convicted of homosexuality. This rehabilitation mirrors conversion therapy, a fundamentally flawed practice that aims to "cure", "fix" or "repair" a person's attraction to the same sex, or their gender identity and expression. This stance implies that homosexuality and gender diversity are disorders necessitating correction—a perspective that not only contravenes scientific understanding but also incites significant harm (American Psychological Association, 2009), (World Health Organisation, 2022).

The societal conversion therapy instituted by the bill engenders stigma, discrimination, and violence against the GSD community. It bolsters misconceptions surrounding homosexuality and gender diversity, for instance, that it is a disordered Western import—this has been debunked (Tamale, 2011, Epprecht, 2020, see also; Legato, 2020,), thus forging a culture steeped in fear and prejudice that exacerbates the marginalisation of GSD individuals. GSD persons who are subjected to harmful conversion therapy ideologies practices frequently experience severe psychological distress, such as elevated instances of depression, anxiety, and suicidal ideation, which has a devastating effect on their mental health and holistic well-being (Meyer, 2003; Hatzenbuehler et al., 2008).

The promotion of societal conversion therapy by the bill contradicts the principles of contemporary clinical practice endorsed by the scientific and medical communities. Based on the understanding that homosexuality and gender diversity are not mental conditions requiring treatment, the American

Psychological Association (APA, 2009) and the World Health Organisation (WHO, 2012) have categorically rejected the necessity and validity of conversion therapy. This imperative for healthcare professionals to align with best clinical practices is underscored by the harm inflicted by conversion therapy, which the WHO explicitly denounces as a severe threat to the health and human rights of those who are subjected to it. This position is further substantiated by empirical research that not only questions the efficacy of conversion therapy but also highlights its harmful repercussions. For instance, research published in the *Journal of Homosexuality* found that conversion treatment recipients experienced worse depression and lower self-esteem than non-recipients (Ryan et al., 2009). Thus, healthcare practitioners have a critical responsibility to uphold current, evidence-based standards of care that respect and affirm the dignity and rights of all individuals.

In promoting conversion therapy, the Uganda Anti-Homosexuality Bill deviates by margins from established scientific consensus and international human rights standards. This deviation underscores the bill's egregious infringement on GSD individuals' rights to health and life with dignity, and it highlights the urgent necessity for an informed and respectful discourse surrounding sexual orientation and gender diversity.

Conclusion

The Anti-Homosexuality Bill represents a significant health and human rights crisis, severely infringing upon the rights, health, and dignity of GSD individuals in Uganda. In addition to discouraging access to vital healthcare services, the bill's punitive provisions foster an atmosphere of dread and discrimination, leading to an increase in mental health issues and health disparities in the community. Particularly insidious is the disproportionate burden borne by the most marginalised individuals within the GSD community, who find themselves facing heightened vulnerabilities under the harsh provisions of this bill. This blatant infringement of basic human rights, coupled with the resultant health crisis, underscores an immediate and pressing imperative for a collective, comprehensive response to address this looming crisis effectively. The urgency for such a response is underscored by the devastating ripple effects of such a bill, extending beyond the individual to the negative impact on the broader societal fabric.

Recommendations

1. Collaboration both locally and internationally is crucial in effectively challenging the Anti-Homosexuality Bill. This can be facilitated through proactive human rights advocacy and enlightening individuals about the damaging effects this bill has on GSD communities.
2. Utilising online platforms for global advocacy can stimulate international support for the safeguarding of GSD individuals' rights. These digital tools can connect individuals across geographical borders, presenting a united front against prejudiced legislation.
3. Addressing the root causes of poor health outcomes, structural barriers, and societal biases is fundamental to reducing syndemic vulnerability amongst GSD individuals. As such, comprehensive interventions targeting these underlying factors can lead to improved health outcomes.
4. Within healthcare, it is vital to ensure service provision is inclusive, non-discriminatory, and responsive to the unique health needs of GSD individuals. Further education for healthcare professionals about these specific needs is paramount to delivering equitable care.
5. Incorporating comprehensive sexuality and gender education into the curriculum can cultivate understanding and acceptance from a young age. Education has the potential to play a transformative role in diminishing prejudice and fostering inclusivity.
6. Religious leaders can be instrumental allies in the fight against homophobia and transphobia. Endeavours should be made to involve them in promoting acceptance and inclusivity, leveraging the values inherent in their respective religions, such as love and respect for all people.
7. Opposing the bill should go beyond legal and legislative action; it necessitates wider societal change towards understanding, inclusion, and acceptance of all people, irrespective of their sexual orientation or gender identity.
8. Ultimately, a comprehensive and holistic approach is needed to ensure that GSD individuals can lead lives characterised by health, dignity, and respect. Policies, practices, and societal attitudes must align to affirm and protect the rights of GSD individuals.

References

- African Union. (1981). Untitled.
https://au.int/sites/default/files/treaties/36390-treaty-0011_-_african_charter_on_human_and_peoples_rights_e.pdf
- Akinwotu, E. (2022, August 3). *Explainer: what makes Ghana's anti-gay bill so extreme?* The Guardian. Retrieved March 15, 2023, from <https://www.theguardian.com/global-development/2022/aug/03/explainer-what-makes-ghana-draft-anti-gay-lgbtq-bill-so-extreme>
- American Psychiatric Association. (2018). *APA Official Actions Position Statement on Conversion Therapy and LGBTQ Patients*. American Psychiatric Association. <https://www.psychiatry.org/getattachment/3d23f2f4-1497-4537-b4de-fe32fe8761bf/Position-Conversion-Therapy.pdf>
- American Psychological Association. (2009, August 5). *Report of the American Psychological Association Task Force on Appropriate Therapeutic Responses to Sexual Orientation*. American Psychological Association. Retrieved March 15, 2023, from <https://www.apa.org/pi/lgbt/resources/therapeutic-response.pdf>
- Badgett, M. L. (2014). *The economic cost of stigma and the exclusion of LGBT people : a case study of India (English)*. World Bank Documents. <https://documents.worldbank.org/en/publication/documents-reports/documentdetail/527261468035379692/the-economic-cost-of-stigma-and-the-exclusion-of-lgbt-people-a-case-study-of-india>

BBC. (2014, August 1). Uganda court annuls anti-homosexuality law. *BBC*.
<https://www.bbc.com/news/world-africa-28605400>

Birungi, P., & Hama-Owamparo, S. (2020). *An Initial General Psychological Assessment Report Depicting the Effects of an Arbitrary Arrest on The Mental Health of 16 Gender and Sexual Diverse Youth Residing in a Shelter*. The Taala Foundation.
<https://taalafoundation.org/wp-content/uploads/2022/05/An-Initial-General-Psychological-Assessment-Report-Depicting-the-Effects-of-an-Arbitrary-Arrest-on-The-Mental-Health-of-16-Gender-and-Sexual-Diverse-Youth-Residing-in-a-Shelter.pdf>

Braveman, P., & Gruskin, S. (2003). *Defining equity in health*. PubMed.
<https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/12646539/>

Chapter Four Uganda. (2023). *regressive and does not meet human rights standards* [Press Statement].

Cullinan, K. (2023, February 28). *Sharp Rise In Homophobia In East Africa Sparks Fear Of Violence*. Health Policy Watch. Retrieved March 15, 2023, from
<https://healthpolicy-watch.news/sharp-rise-in-homophobia-in-east-africa/>

Epprecht, M. (2020, March 25). *Sexualities in Africa - African Studies*. Oxford Bibliographies. Retrieved March 15, 2023, from
<https://www.oxfordbibliographies.com/display/document/obo-9780199846733/obo-9780199846733-0215.xml>

Friedman, M. R., Stall, R., Plankey, M., WEI, C., Shoptaw, S., Herrick, A., Surkan, P. J., Teplin, L., & Silvestre, A. J. (2019). *Effects of syndemics on hiv viral load and medication adherence in the multicenter aids cohort study*. NCBI.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4739626/>

Gathara, P. (2023, March 22). *Uganda's new anti-homosexuality law bans identification as LGBTQ*. Al Jazeera.

<https://www.aljazeera.com/news/2023/3/22/uganda-passes-tough-antigay-law-bans-identification-as-lgbtq>

Gruskin, S., Mills, E. J., & Tarantola, D. (2007). *History, principles, and practice of health and human rights*. <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/17679022/>

Hatzenbuehler, M. L., McLaughlin, K. A., Keyes, K. M., & Hasin, D. S. (2010, March). *The Impact of Institutional Discrimination on Psychiatric Disorders in Lesbian, Gay, and Bisexual Populations: A Prospective Study*. NCBI.

<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC2820062/>

Hatzenbuehler, M. L., Nolen-Hoeksema, S., & Erickson, S. J. (2008). Minority stress predictors of HIV risk behavior, substance use, and depressive symptoms: results from a prospective study of bereaved gay men. <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/18643003/>

Human Rights Watch. (2022, November 25). *Russia: Expanded 'Gay Propaganda' Ban Progresses Toward Law*. Human Rights Watch. Retrieved March 15, 2023, from <https://www.hrw.org/news/2022/11/25/russia-expanded-gay-propaganda-ban-progresses-toward-law>

Human Rights Watch. (2023, March 10). *Uganda: New Anti-Gay Bill Further Threatens Rights*. Human Rights Watch. Retrieved March 15, 2023, from <https://www.hrw.org/news/2023/03/09/uganda-new-anti-gay-bill-further-threatens-rights>

Kasujja, R., & Hama-Owamparo, S. (2022). *Upholding the Right to Health and Life with Dignity: An Analysis of Gender and Sexual Diverse Youths' Experiences of*

- State-Sanctioned Violence in Uganda During the COVID-19 Pandemic*. The Taala Foundation. <https://www.taalafoundation.org/>
- Legato, M. J. (2020). Homosexuality: the biological basis of differences in sexual orientation,. In *The Plasticity of Sex: The Molecular Biology and Clinical Features of Genomic Sex, Gender Identity and Sexual Behavior*. Elsevier Science.
- Meyer, I. H. (2003). Prejudice, Social Stress, and Mental Health in Lesbian, Gay, and Bisexual Populations: Conceptual Issues and Research Evidence. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC2072932/>
- Office of the High Commissioner for Human Rights. (2020). *IE SOGI - eReport_V1_20200529*. OHCHR. Retrieved March 15, 2023, from <https://www.ohchr.org/sites/default/files/Documents/Issues/SexualOrientation/ConversionTherapyReport.pdf>
- Tamale, S. (Ed.). (2011). *African Sexualities: A Reader*. Pambazuka Press.
- UNAIDS. (2013). *Global Report 2013*. UNAIDS. Retrieved March 15, 2023, from https://www.unaids.org/sites/default/files/media_asset/UNAIDS_Global_Report_2013_en_1.pdf
- United Nations. (1948). *Universal Declaration of Human Rights*. United Nations. <https://www.un.org/en/about-us/universal-declaration-of-human-rights>
- United Nations. (1966). *International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights*. United Nations. <https://www.ohchr.org/sites/default/files/ceschr.pdf>
- United Nations Commission on the Status of Women. (1979). *UN General Assembly, Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination Against Women, 18 December*. <http://www.un.org/womenwatch/daw/cedaw/cedaw.htm>.

United Nations Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights. (2000). *General comment no. 14 (2000), The right to the highest attainable standard of health (article 12 of the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights)*. United Nations Digital Library System.

<https://digitallibrary.un.org/record/425041?ln=en#record-files-collapse-header>

World Health Organisation. (1946). *Constitution of the World Health Organization*. World Health Organization (WHO). <https://www.who.int/about/governance/constitution>

World Health Organisation. (2001). *Mental Health A Call for Action by World Health Ministers*.

Mental Health Innovation Network.

<https://www.mhinnovation.net/sites/default/files/downloads/resource/Mental%20Health%20A%20Call%20for%20Action%20by%20World%20Health%20Ministers.pdf>

World Health Organisation. (2002, July 1). *25 Questions & Answers on Health & Human Rights*.

Open Society Foundations.

<https://www.opensocietyfoundations.org/publications/25-questions-answers-health-human-rights>

World Health Organisation. (2022). *International Classification of Diseases 11th Revision The global standard for diagnostic health information*. ICD-11. <https://icd.who.int/en>